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Abstract

This work investigates the robustness of AlGaN/GaN multi-metal gated (MMG) HEMT architecture for gm3 optimization and linearity improvement in the presence of Fermi-Level pinning. Through Technology Computer-Aided Design (TCAD), Compact modeling and Load-Pull simulations, it is shown that despite incorporating FLP, employing MMG scheme improves device level gm3-suppression leading to an improvement in OIP3/Pdc and IMD3. Remarkably, OIP3/Pdc of 18.9 dB is obtained considering an FLP factor of 0.43, which is 10.7 dB improvement than the conventional planar HEMT. A comparative analysis on output power back-off (OBO) for conventional and MMG HEMT with different FLP factors establishes MMG as a robust architecture to FLP, and therefore a practical method to enhance linearity of GaN power amplifiers.
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Abstract
This work investigates the robustness of AlGaN/GaN multi-metal gated (MMG) HEMT architecture for $g_{m3}$ optimization and linearity improvement in the presence of Fermi-Level pinning. Through Technology Computer-Aided Design (TCAD), Compact modeling and Load-Pull simulations, it is shown that despite incorporating FLP, employing MMG scheme improves device level $g_{m3}$-suppression leading to an improvement in OIP3/P_{dc} and IMD3. Remarkably, OIP3/P_{dc} of 18.9 dB is obtained considering an FLP factor of 0.43, which is 10.7 dB improvement than the conventional planar HEMT. A comparative analysis on output power back-off (OBO) for conventional and MMG HEMT with different FLP factors establishes MMG as a robust architecture to FLP, and therefore a practical method to enhance linearity of GaN power amplifiers.
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Introduction
To accommodate the surging demand of highly efficient linear amplifiers for 5G and beyond communication networks, GaN HEMT-based power amplifiers (PA) are emerging as the ideal candidates. Poised with the best in-class power-density and power-added-efficiency (PAE) [1-2], GaN HEMT technology is a suitable candidate for the next generation base station PAs. However, due to a strong nonlinearity observed at the high input power, GaN-based PAs are limited to operating at certain OBO which eventually limits the efficient operation of the same. Of the various factors at the device level that contribute to the nonlinearity of a signal in a PA, it is the third-order transconductance ($g_{m3}$) that exerts the most significant influence (48% contribution) [3]. Threshold voltage ($V_t$) engineering is an effective technique for the reduction of $g_{m3}$ and hence the improvement of large-signal linearity performance [4].

Fermi-Level Pinning (FLP) is a practical problem faced by GaN HEMTs which depends highly on sample preparation, surface treatment, and post gate annealing [5]. However, despite several experimental reports on FLP, there is a lack of studies to understand the device performance in the presence of FLP. Such a problem becomes more pronounced in a multi-metal gated (MMG) architecture [6]. MMG HEMT was recently reported for $g_{m3}$ compensation, exhibiting a significant RF linearity improvement (6.3 dB higher OIP3/P_{dc}, 12.9 dB less IMD3 compared to conventional planar architecture with single metal-gate). In MMG scheme the modulation of $V_t$ was achieved by placing different metals along the width of the device which led to lower $g_{m3}$. However, the proposed technique assumed an ideal Schottky behavior of the gate metals without considering FLP, a first order non-ideal effect of practical metal/semiconductor contacts. The problem of FLP becomes more pronounced in MMG HEMT where 10 metals are studied together for $g_{m3}$ optimization through $V_t$ modulation.

Using the case study of the recently proposed MMG AlGaN/GaN HEMT, this work establishes MMG as a robust architecture for improving GaN HEMT’s linearity in the presence of FLP through a systematic investigation. Through TCAD simulation and large-signal assessment, this work not only validates the MMG scheme in the non-ideal practical scenarios, but also demonstrates that even in the presence of FLP, the alleviation of $g_{m3}$ nonlinearity improves RF large-signal linearity metrics. In light of the experimentally reported FLP factor values, it is observed that all linearity metrics show improvements compared to a planar HEMT.

Device Structure and Simulation Methodology
The 3-D schematic of the proposed MMG is shown in Fig. 1(a). $M_1$, $M_2$, and $M_3$ are the representative metals which are placed along the width of the device to modulate $V_t$. Fundamentally, this technique follows the principle of parallel connected HEMTs each with distinct $V_t$ to achieve a slow turn on and hence, lower values of the derivatives of transconductance. Fig. 1(b) shows a circuit-level ideation of the device, whose dimensions are given in Fig. 1(c). The simulation framework includes experimentally calibrated TCAD models, $g_{m3}$ optimization, compact modeling, and finally large-signal simulation for performance evaluation of the PA, as reported in Fig. 1(d) [7-8]. Key simulation parameters have been reported in [6].

The FLP effect has been considered in the simulation framework through the dependence of the threshold voltage for a HEMT on the Schottky barrier height (SBH) as given in Eq. (2). Ideally, $V_t$ modulation should be exactly 1 V for a change of 1 eV in metal work-function ($\Phi_m$), or in other words, a slope of $S = 1$ V/eV. However, FLP restricts the variation of SBH despite a variation in $\Phi_m$ and so using multiple metals in the gate of the device cannot change $V_t$ indefinitely. In literature, in the presence of FLP, the dependence of SBH on $\Phi_m$ is described by a slope parameter $S$ instead of ideal slope 1 V/eV. $S = 1$ implies the ideal case with no pinning and $S = 0$ indicates that the Fermi level is pinned at a certain energy level for all metals. Previous reports of metal/AlGaN contacts show $S$ values of 0.84±0.26, and 0.43 [9]. In this work, two values (0.43 and 0.70) of $S$ have been investigated to quantify the effect on $g_{m3}$ improvement (and therefore RF linearity) in MMG HEMT.

Results and Discussion
Fig. 2(a) shows the variation of $V_t$ with respect to $\Phi_m$ for different values of $S$. The standard deviation of threshold voltage is 0.55 V for $S = 1$, 0.38 V for $S = 0.70$ and 0.24 V for $S = 0.43$. As previously mentioned, MMG HEMT achieves the lower $g_{m3}$ through the superposition of adjacent gate-metal’s $g_{m3}$’s. Therefore, their $V_t$ distribution is an important...
factor for the degree of optimization. Fig. 2(b), (d) and (f) depict the $g_{m3}$ profile for different FLP factors. The resulting decrease in the standard deviation of $V_d$ leads to a narrower optimization window for $g_{m3}$ cancellation. The metal work functions, and their gate-widths are chosen by employing the optimization technique explained in [6]. In [6], a 3× suppression of $g_{m3}$ is achieved by an optimum choice of six metals for $S = 1$. It was found that, the optimized results benefit from the consideration of FLP. Notably, a 3.2× and 3.4× reduction in $g_{m3}$ is achieved for $S = 0.70$ and 0.43 respectively [Fig. 2(e)-(g)] using only four metals (W-19.5%, Ru-14.9%, Ni-30.2%, Au-35.4% for $S = 0.70$, and W-18.7%, Ru-13.8%, Ni-23.7% Au-43.8% for $S = 0.43$). To explain, the metals chosen have work-functions which are relatively far apart, but FLP allows the $g_{m3}$ curves to fall within a narrow optimization window. Thus, considering finite FLP leads to a better $g_{m3}$ compensation which eventually results in a superior result with a smaller number of metals. Fig. 2(h) depicts the enhancement in optimization, as stated.

Nevertheless, it may seem that a greater extent of FLP would result in better $g_{m3}$ suppression and enhanced linearity, which is not the case. A complete FLP might cause the peaks of $g_{m3}$ for certain metals to overlap, reinforcing $g_{m3}$ instead of cancellation. In this work, $S$ values reported in the literature for experimental devices were considered.

After the device-level engineering of MMG, compact models of the devices are done using MVS SG model [10], shown in Fig. 2(i)-(j). One tone load pull simulation at 5 GHz shows that the gain of the MMG HEMT is more linear than a conventional HEMT ($P_{out} = 0.59 \text{ W/mm}, 3.64 \text{ W/mm for conventional HEMT and MMG with } S = 0.43 \text{ FLP factor respectively}$) for a longer portion of input RF power, as depicted in Fig. 3(a). Furthermore, the PAE of MMG HEMT, considering strong FLP ($S = 0.43$), is higher in the linear operating region compared to conventional HEMT. Two-tone load-pull simulation was conducted to evaluate RF linearity. The center frequency was set at 5 GHz with a frequency spacing of 10 MHz. The DC quiescent bias point was chosen at $V_{DS,0} = 28 \text{ V}, I_{D,0} = \{50, 73, 90, 105\} \text{ mA/mm} – \text{ all four corresponding to deep Class AB operation. As illustrated in Fig. 3(b), the third order harmonic (P_{3rd}) output power is considerably lower in case of MMG, validating its capability to suppress non-linear higher order power components. The slope of P_{3rd} in case of MMG is appreciably minimized.}

The output-referred third-order intercept (OIP3) for planar and MMG HEMT is shown in Fig. 4(a). The linearity metrics are extracted at $P_{out} = 15 \text{ dBm}$ as in [6]. The sweet spot for MMG HEMT shifts to lower $I_{D,0}$ (50 mA/mm, deeper Class AB) with $S = 0.43$ when compared to no pinning considered (mid-level $I_{D,0} = 73 \text{ mA/mm}$). The improvement in OIP3 is eventually better in case of pinning factor $S = 0.43$, supporting the device level optimization achieved. Improvement in OIP3 is 2.2 dB, 7.9 dB and 10.8 dB for $S = 1$, 0.70 and 0.43 respectively compared to planar HEMT, at $P_{DS} = 50 \text{ mA/mm. OIP3/P_{ds}}$ is found the highest (18.9 dB) for $S = 0.43$, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Third order intermodulation distortion (IMD3) for the proposed architecture also outcasts the planar HEMT at all pinning conditions as shown in Fig. 4(c). The IMD3 is distinctly lower for MMG schemes. Especially considering FLP factor $S = 0.43$, IMD3 is lower by ~32dB in a considerable output power range (15–25 dBm) compared to conventional HEMT, as shown in Fig. 4(d).

In GaN HEMT PAs, to maintain a desired level of IMD3, output power back-off (OBO) is a system-level requirement for PA operation, at the expense of PAE. This simulation demonstrates that the required IMD3 can be obtained at lower OBO even if the FLP is included in the simulation framework.

Fig. 5(a) shows the achieved IMD3 at three OBOs. It is remarkable that, even after considering practical FLP, a lower IMD3 value can be achieved, manifesting the viability of highly linear operation of the MMG HEMT (13.9 dB lower than conventional HEMT at 6 dB OBO with $S = 0.43$). The PAE vs. OBO trend demonstrated in Fig. 5(b) confirms that, PAE is not compromised for linear operation of PAs (37.2 % for MMG, 31.4% for conventional HEMT, at 6 dB OBO, $S = 0.43$). At 6 dB OBO, a consequent lower IMD3 and higher PAE relieve the linearity-efficiency trade-off. Fig. 6(a) shows delayed gain compression (P-in is 3.0 W/mm higher in MMG with FLP factor, $S = 0.43$; compared to conventional HEMT) for MMG HEMT validating MMG HEMT’s capability to operate linearly at higher output power even with finite FLP factors. Though $P_{out}$ value is 1.6 W/mm lower in MMG [Fig. 6(b)] with strong FLP ($S = 0.43$) than conventional HEMT, a high-power linear operation is only feasible in MMG.

**Conclusion**

The influence of FLP in Schottky gate region on the transistor’s RF linearity, with reference to MMG HEMT, is systematically investigated. MMG architecture is an effective approach to enhance GaN HEMT linearity by modulating the $V_d$ and hence, suppress $g_{m3}$. However, for a detailed and practical analysis, FLP should be duly considered. Analysis of MMG in the presence of FLP considering two experimental values of $S$ reveals that, device-level $g_{m3}$ suppression, and consequently large-signal linearity metrics, can be improved even in the presence of FLP. In the broader context, this work offers insights into the “FLP-robustness” of unique transistor architectures, as exemplified by the novel MMG HEMT, to deliver higher RF linearity.
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Multimetal Gated Device Architecture and Methodology

(a) 3-D schematic of the Multimetal gated AlGaN/GaN HEMT. M1, M2, & M3 are different metals with their numbers, widths, and work functions as optimization parameters. (b) Circuit visualization of the MMG technique. MMG HEMT follows the principle of parallel connected HEMTs with distinct threshold voltage \( V_{th} \), \( V_{th1} \), \( V_{th2} \). (c) Structural parameters of the proposed device. (d) Methodology of this work, including the TCAD model calibration and simulation, compact modeling, and large-signal circuit simulation, in the specified order. (e) Definitions of \( g_{30}, V_i, \) and \( S \).

Third Order Transconductance Improvement considering Fermi Level Pinning (FLP)

Fig. 1: (a) 3-D schematic of the Multimetal gated AlGaN/GaN HEMT. M1, M2, & M3 are different metals with their numbers, widths, and work functions as optimization parameters. (b) Circuit visualization of the MMG technique. MMG HEMT follows the principle of parallel connected HEMTs with distinct threshold voltage \( V_{th} \), \( V_{th1} \), \( V_{th2} \). (c) Structural parameters of the proposed device. (d) Methodology of this work, including the TCAD model calibration and simulation, compact modeling, and large-signal circuit simulation, in the specified order. (e) Definitions of \( g_{30}, V_i, \) and \( S \).

RF Large Signal Performance considering FLP in MMG HEMT

Fig. 2: (a) Threshold variation with gate-metal work-functions for different Fermi-Level pinning factors, \( S \). A linear relation is preserved even though the standard deviations of \( V_t \) values decrease with decreasing \( S \). (b), (d) and (f) \( g_{30} \) peaks of different metals gets closer as the pinning effect takes charge. (c), (e) and (g) Optimization of \( g_{30} \) with Fermi level pinning effect considered. (b) Magnitude of reduction in \( g_{30} \) vs. FL factor, \( S \). Number of metals required is mentioned alongside. (i)-(j) Compact Modeling of the MMG HEMT using MVSG model showing \( I_{DS}, V_{GS}, \) and \( I_{D3}, V_{GS} \) characteristics of MMG HEMT.

Fig. 3: (a) Fundamental output power, gain and PAE vs. input RF power for Conventional and MMG HEMT. Gain curve showing delayed compression in MMG for FL factor, \( S = 0.43 \) (\( V_{DS,Q} = 28 \) V, \( I_{D,Q} = 50 \) mA/mm, \( f = 5 \) GHz) (b) Fundamental and Third Harmonic Power vs. input RF power. Lower \( P_{out} \) for the MMG HEMT compared to Conventional HEMT showing better harmonic suppression even in the presence of FLP. \( (f = 5 \) GHz, \( \Delta f = 10 \) MHz) In this work, device width is 100 \( \mu m \).

Fig. 4: (a) OIP3 vs. FL. (b) OIP3 vs. FL. (c) IMD3 at different current bias condition shows MMG HEMT outstands conventional HEMT at three FLPs. The data are extracted at 15 dBm output power. The best operating bias is circled in the figures. (d) IMD3 at a range of output power showing better harmonic suppression capability of MMG up to higher output powers.

Fig. 5: (a) Improved (suppressed) IMD3 achieved for MMG (for all finite FLPs) at lower OBO suggesting its viability as an effective device to operating close to \( P_{sat} \). (b) PAE at different output power back-off (OBO) for conventional HEMT and MMG HEMT considering three FL factors. \( V_{DS,Q} = 28 \) V, \( I_{D,Q} = 50 \) mA/mm

Fig. 6: Impact of FLP on (a) output referred 1-dB compression point and (b) \( P_{out} \). The results reflect MMG HEMT’s capability to operate linearly at higher output power even with finite FLP factors.