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Abstract

We propose an approximation algorithm called LINKUMP to compute the Pan Matrix Profile (PMP) under the unnormalized distance (useful for value-based similarity search) using double-ended queue and linear interpolation. The algorithm has comparable time/space complexities as the state-of-the-art algorithm for typical PMP computation under the normalized $l^2$ distance (useful for shape-based similarity search). We validate its efficiency and effectiveness through extensive numerical experiments and a real-world anomaly detection application.
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Abstract—We propose an approximation algorithm called LINKUMP to compute the Pan Matrix Profile (PMP) under the unnormalized $\ell_\infty$ distance (useful for value-based similarity search) using double-ended queue and linear interpolation. The algorithm has comparable time/space complexities as the state-of-the-art algorithm for typical PMP computation under the normalized $\ell_2$ distance (useful for shape-based similarity search). We validate its efficiency and effectiveness through extensive numerical experiments and a real-world anomaly detection application.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the time series Matrix Profile (MP) has been proposed as a versatile data structure for many data mining tasks (time series discord discovery, segmentation, shapelets/motifs/chains discovery etc.) [1]. As a companion time series, the MP records distances between nearest neighbors of subsequences in the original time series. Under the normalized $\ell_2$ distance metric (leading to shape-based similarity search), several fast algorithms have been devised to compute the MP based on the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) [1] or Dynamic Programming (DP) [2]. Under the unnormalized $\ell_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) distance metric (leading to value-based similarity search), fast algorithms based on DP [3] or selected special data structures (double-ended queue and segment tree) [4] are also proposed. Note that, assuming the length of a given time series is $n$, then the time complexity of the state-of-the-art algorithm [2] for computing its MP is $O(n^2)$, which is independent of the subsequence length.

As pointed out in [4], the MP under a specific distance metric is appropriate for certain tasks, but not necessarily appropriate for others; its actual performance highly depends on data and applications. For example, though shown to be powerful in many tasks, the MP under normalized $\ell_2$ distance cannot deal with “values of subsequences”-based discord discovery (anomaly detection), and the normalized $\ell_2$ distance is even not defined for constant subsequences.

Although the MP is already a convenient enough tool for many time series data mining tasks, it still requires the practitioner to set one critical parameter – the subsequence length (i.e., the query size) for similarity search. To remove the only parameter, [5] proposes a new data structure – the Pan Matrix Profile (PMP), which is a matrix with each row being a Matrix Profile corresponding to a single subsequence length. Essentially, the PMP is a parameter-free version of the MP that has potential to deal with various time series data mining tasks. However, computing an exact PMP is slow; the time complexity is $O(|M|n^2)$, where $M$ is the list of all considered subsequence lengths and $|M|$ is the total number of subsequence lengths that $M$ contains. To speed up the computation for the PMP, [5] proposes an approximation algorithm called SKIMP, which tries to optimize the order of candidate subsequence lengths to compute their respective MP’s. Note, however, that this approximation algorithm does not deal with the MP’s for the remaining subsequence lengths when it terminates computing the MP’s for a selected set of subsequence lengths. As noted by the authors of [5], they use the normalized $\ell_2$ distance for the MP/PMP computation, and this leads to the fact that “given a matrix profile $P_i$, it is impossible to predict or even produce an upper or lower bound for matrix profile $P_{i+1}$.”

Another recent work [6] also tries to remove the only parameter (i.e., the subsequence length for similarity search), but for a more specific purpose – discord discovery (anomaly detection). The authors propose a parameter-free algorithm named MERLIN, for discovery of arbitrary length discords (anomalies) in massive time series archives. Note that the MERLIN algorithm is the state-of-the-art in terms of speed, but it only tries to find discords as fast as possible; to that end, the algorithm avoids keeping track of the anomaly scores of the vast majority of all the subsequences. On the other hand, when applied for discord discovery, the MP/PMP algorithms output much more information, including anomaly scores of non-discords, and sometimes such information is intuitive and important for analysts.

Because algorithms for the MP/PMP under unnormalized distances would still produce good (or even better) results in various time series data mining tasks on many data sets, in this paper we investigate alternative approximation algorithms to speed up the PMP computation. Our algorithm utilizes the monotonicity of MP’s with respect to subsequence lengths to “LINK” (through Linear Interpolation) unfinished MP’s to already finished MP’s, and thus is essentially different than the SKIMP algorithm. We call our algorithm LINKUMP, where “LI” also stands for Linear Interpolation, and “UMP” is short for Matrix Profile under Unnormalized distances. As a building block, the computation for the MP corresponding to a single subsequence length is done using the algorithms (based on double-ended queue or segment tree) developed in [4].
Recently there has been an explosion of papers on deep learning based anomaly detection \cite{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13}, but “it is not obvious that deep learning outperforms simpler and more direct” \cite{9} \cite{10} \cite{11} \cite{12} \cite{13}, as shown in \cite{14}, the Matrix Profile (MP) under unnormalized distances could be applied to anomaly detection tasks; sometimes it would even outperform the MP under normalized distances, depending on the data sets. A key observation is that, no matter under what distance metrics, the MP values are essentially “anomaly scores” of the subsequences in the time series, and the maximum MP value means that the corresponding subsequence has the largest anomaly score; we call this subsequence the “discord.” As pointed in \cite{6}, “since their introduction, time series discord has emerged as a competitive approach for discovering anomalies.”

Admittedly, the MP/PMP under unnormalized distances also has potential to be successfully applied to other time series data mining tasks (e.g., motif discovery \cite{3}), we focus on value-based discord discovery (anomaly detection) in this paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We define the MP/PMP (under unnormalized distances) of time series and prove the monotonicity of the PMP in Section \ref{sec:II}. In Section \ref{sec:III} we elaborate the LINKUMP algorithm to compute the PMP. Numerical results are shown in Section \ref{sec:V}. We conclude in Section \ref{sec:VI} The Appendix (Section \ref{sec:VI}) contains details of some subroutines required by the LINKUMP algorithm.

**Notation:** Let $X = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ be a real-valued time series with length $n$, where $x_t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the value sampled at time instance $t$, $t = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Denote by $m$ the length of a subsequence (window size), which satisfies $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Let $X_{j,j+m-1} = [x_j, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{j+m-1}]$ denote the $j$th subsequence of $X$, $0 \leq j \leq n-m$. Denote by $|A|$ the length of a given list (or vector) $A$. Assuming $A$ is a list (vector) and $m \in M$, we let $m || M$ denote the index of $m$ in $M$. Given a lower bound $L$, an upper bound $U$ ($-\infty < L < U < +\infty$), and a step size $S (0 < S < U-L)$, we use $\text{range}(L,U,S)$ to denote an ordered list containing all the elements that are on the interval $[L,U]$ and can be expressed as $L + i \times S$ where $i$ is an integer.

\section{Definition and Monotonicity}

We first review the definition of the Matrix Profile under unnormalized distances \cite{4}, and then extend it to the Pan Matrix Profile.

**Definition 1 (Matrix Profile of Time Series)**

The Matrix Profile of $X$ is a new time series $Y = [y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-m}]$, where

$$y_j = \min_{0 \leq j' \leq n-m, j' \neq j} d(X_{j,j+m-1}, X_{j',j'+m-1}), \quad (1)$$

where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the $\ell_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) distance.

To put it another way, the Matrix Profile (MP) of $X$ at time instance $j$ is the $\ell_p$ distance between the $j$th subsequence and its nearest-neighbor subsequence in $X$. Similar to \cite{4}, to make descriptions concise while still capturing the essence of the MP, in Definition \ref{def:1} we do not include the other component of the MP (i.e., the respective indices of the nearest-neighbor subsequences) from the original definition in \cite{1}.

**Definition 2 (Pan Matrix Profile of Time Series)**

Given a list of subsequence lengths $M = [m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{|M|-1}]$, the Pan Matrix Profile of $X$ is an $|M| \times n$ matrix $P$ with each row filled by a Matrix Profile of $X$, which corresponds to a specific subsequence length; in particular,

$$P_{i,j} = \min_{0 \leq j' \leq n-m_i, j' \neq j} d(X_{j,j+m_i-1}, X_{j',j'+m_i-1}), \quad (2)$$

$$\forall 0 \leq i \leq |M| - 1, 0 \leq j \leq n - m_i,$$ where $m_i$ is the subsequence length corresponding to the $i$-th row.

Note that, in Definition \ref{def:2} the unfilled entries of $P$ (i.e., $P_{i,j}, \forall 0 \leq i \leq |M| - 1, n - m_i < j \leq n$) could always be set as a Not-a-Number (NaN) value. We are now in a position to prove the monotonicity of the PMP with respect to the subsequence lengths:

**Theorem II.1 (Monotonicity of Pan Matrix Profile)**

Assume for the list of subsequence lengths $M = [m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{|M|-1}]$ we have $m_{i_1} < m_{i_2}, \forall 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq |M| - 1$. Then $P_{i,j}$’s defined by (2) satisfy $P_{i_1,j} \leq P_{i_2,j}, \forall 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq |M| - 1, 0 \leq j \leq n - m_{i_2}$.

**Proof:** Arbitrarily fix $j \in [0, n-m_{i_2}]$. Noting $m_{i_1} < m_{i_2}$, from (2) we have

$$P_{i_1,j} = \min_{0 \leq j' \leq n-m_{i_1}, j' \neq j} d(X_{j,j+m_{i_1}-1}, X_{j',j'+m_{i_1}-1})$$

$$\leq \min_{0 \leq j' \leq n-m_{i_2}, j' \neq j} d(X_{j,j+m_{i_1}-1}, X_{j',j'+m_{i_1}-1})$$

$$\leq \min_{0 \leq j' \leq n-m_{i_2}, j' \neq j} d(X_{j,j+m_{i_2}-1}, X_{j',j'+m_{i_2}-1}) = P_{i_2,j}.$$
It is worth pointing out that Theorem II.1 would not hold for the MP/PMP under normalized distances. To see this more clearly, let us look at an example using a synthesized time series shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2a we plot its exact MP values versus the subsequence lengths. It is seen that the MP values are not consistently increasing with respect to the subsequence lengths (there is an obvious decrease around the subsequence length 50).

Fig. 1: A synthesized time series.

Fig. 2: MP values vs. subsequence lengths of the synthesized time series shown in Fig. 1.

Now we describe the LINKUMP algorithm. It is an approximation algorithm for PMP computation by utilizing linear interpolation. The idea is essentially different than the SKIMP algorithm [5], which tried to order the selected subsequence lengths and compute only a portion of them from the beginning. On the other hand, our approach is as follows. Once we have computed the MPs for a set of selected subsequence lengths, we do linear interpolation for each and every missing subsequence length; e.g., if we have done computing the MPs for the following 4 subsequence lengths, [10, 20, 30, 40], then we do linear interpolation for the corresponding intervals (10, 20), (20, 30), and (30, 40), respectively, to obtain approximate MPs for subsequence lengths in these intervals. Fig. 2b visualizes this procedure for the synthesized time series example (shown in Fig. 1), where both the exact and approximate MP functions (with respect to subsequence lengths) are piecewise linear and nondecreasing.

To formalize the algorithm, we denote by \( L \) (resp., \( U \)) the minimum (resp., maximum) subsequence length, \( S \) the step size, and \( \beta \in (0, 1] \) the completion rate for the PMP computation of the selected set of subsequence lengths. We summarize the steps as Algorithm 1; where Lines 10 through 17 describe how the linear interpolation works for the in-between subsequence lengths. It is seen that the time complexity of this algorithm is \( O(\beta|M|^2) \), and the space complexity is \( O(|M|n) \), where \( |M| \) is the number of candidate subsequence lengths.

Algorithm 1 Pan Matrix Profile under Unnormalized distance by Linear Interpolation (LINKUMP)

1: procedure LINKUMP\((X, L, U, S, \beta)\)
2: \( n \leftarrow \) length of \( X \)
3: \( M \leftarrow \) range\((L, U + 1, S)\)
4: \( P \leftarrow |M| \times n \) matrix of NaN’s
5: \( M’ \leftarrow \) range\((L, U + 1, \lfloor \frac{1}{\beta} \rfloor \cdot S)\)
6: for \( m \) in \( M’ \) do
7: \( i \leftarrow m \| M \)
8: \( P_i \leftarrow \) MatrixProfileDeque\((X, m)\)
9: end for
10: for \( l \) in range\((0, |M’| - 1, 1)\) do
11: for \( m \) in range\((m_l + S, m_{l+1} + S)\) do
12: \( i \leftarrow m \| M \)
13: for \( j \) in range\((0, n - m + 1, 1)\) do
14: \( P_{i,j} \leftarrow (\ast)\)
15: \( P_{i,j} \leftarrow P_{i,j} + \frac{m - m_l}{m_{l+1} - m_l} (P_{m_{l+1} + m_l \| M, j} - P_{m_l \| M, j})\)
16: \( P \) is NaN, we simply take \((\ast)\) as NaN; otherwise, if \( P_{m_{l+1} \| M, j} \) is NaN, we simply take \((\ast)\) as the value of \( P_{m_l \| M, j} \)
17: end for
18: end for
19: return \( P \)

\( | \) is the element-wise distance to rotated time series (see Section VI-A), \( \langle \) \( \rangle \) the completion rate for the PMP computation of the selected set of subsequence lengths.
IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we apply the LINKUMP algorithm for discord discovery (anomaly detection) purposes. We note that, in terms of the time complexity (speed), the current state-of-the-art parameter-free discord discovery algorithm is MERLIN [6]. However, the MERLIN algorithm only tries to find discords with the highest speed by avoiding keeping track of the anomaly scores of the vast majority of all subsequences. On the other hand, when applied for discord discovery, our LINKUMP algorithm would output much more intuitive information (such as anomaly scores of non-discords) that could be important for analysts (e.g., when one knows anomaly scores for both discords and non-discords, she would get an impression of how “serious” the discords are deviating from the normal subsequences).

It happened that for a dataset with an ultra subtle anomaly used in the MERLIN paper, the MP with unnormalized $\ell_\infty$ distance would very easily find the correct discord, while the version with normalized $\ell_2$ distance would be harder, due to its strong sensitivity with respect to subsequence lengths.

A. Application in Anomaly Detection

As noted by [6], anomaly detection benchmarks in the literature typically contain anomalies that also yield to casual visual inspection, and “it is interesting to ask if we can detect very subtle anomalies, that would defy human inspection.” To that end, [6] proposes experiments to obtain ground-truth subtle anomalies; here we borrow one of the resulting data sets: the time series of the Mars Curiosity Rover T1.

In Fig. 3 we show a signal from the Mars Curiosity rover T1 that was annotated as having an anomaly on time instances 2550 through 2585 (an ultra-subtle anomaly example from [6]).

fig 3: A signal from the Mars Curiosity rover T1; annotated as having an anomaly on time instances 2550 through 2585 (an ultra-subtle anomaly example from [6]).

B. CPU Time for Pan Matrix Profile Computation

To compute the PMP, similar to the SKIMP algorithm, our LINKUMP algorithm also has an “anytime” property; namely, at any time, the algorithm could be terminated, resulting an approximation of the exact PMP. Depending on how large the completion rate $\beta$ is, the execution time of LINKUMP could be various, but in our experiments we could set $\beta$ as small values (say 0.01, 0.05), while still achieving overall good performance. For example, for a time series $X$ with length 5000, with $L = 3, U = 500, S = 1, \beta = 0.05$, the LINKUMP algorithm implemented in Python can finish running within 10 minutes on a desktop computer with an Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-4770 CPU and 16 GB of system memory.
Fig. 4: Matrix Profiles of the time series in Fig. 3 when subsequence length $m = 3$; top: MP with unnormalized $\ell_\infty$ distance (could easily find a discord at the correct time instance), bottom: MP with normalized $\ell_2$ distance (could not find a discord at the correct time instance).

V. Conclusion

In this paper, by utilizing the monotonicity of the Matrix Profiles (with respect to subsequence lengths) and linear interpolation, we propose an approximation algorithm called LINKUMP to compute the Pan Matrix Profile (PMP) under the unnormalized $\ell_\infty$ distance. We show that the algorithm has comparable time/space complexities as the state-of-the-art algorithm for typical PMP computation under the normalized $\ell_2$ distance. The efficiency and effectiveness of the LINKUMP algorithm has been validated through extensive numerical experiments and a real-world anomaly detection application. Our future work includes extending the linear interpolation to general polynomial interpolation and considering additional applications.

VI. Appendix

A. Finding Sliding Maxima Using Double-ended Queue

1: procedure SlidMaxDeque(Z, m)
2:    n ← length of Z
3:    if m equals 1 then
4:        return Z
5:    end if
6:    initialize Q as a new empty double-ended queue
7:    initialize slidMax as a new empty vector
8:    for $l = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ do
9:        if Q is nonempty and $Q[0] \leq l - m$ then
10:           remove the leftmost element from Q
11:        end if
12:        while Q ≠ \emptyset and $Z[l] > Z[Q[-1]]$ do
13:           remove the rightmost element from Q
14:        end while
15:        append $l$ to the rightmost of Q
16:        if $l \geq m - 1$ then
17:           append $Z[Q[0]]$ to the rightmost of slidMax
18:        end if
19:    end for
20:    return slidMax

B. Computation of Element-wise Distance to Rotated Time Series

1: procedure EleWiseDistToRotTimeSeries(X, i)
2:    n ← length of X
3:    eleDist ← the $i$-th rotated $X$ (i.e., $X(i) = [x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_i]$)
4:    for $l = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ do
6:    end for
7:    return eleDist
8: end procedure

C. Computation of Matrix Profile Under Unnormalized $\ell_\infty$ Distance Using Double-ended Queue

1: procedure MatrixProfileDeque(X, m)
2:    n ← length of X
3:    initialize MP as an array with length $n - m + 1$ and each element being a large enough float number (e.g., $1.0 \times 10^6$)
4:    for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 2$ do
5:        eleDist ← EleWiseDistToRotTimeSeries(X, i)
6:        slidMax ← SlidMaxDeque(eleDist, m)
7:        for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n - m$ do
8:            if $i \leq n - m - j - 1$ or $i \geq n - j - 1$ then
9:                $MP[j] \leftarrow \min(MP[j], slidMax[j])$
10:            end if
11:        end for
12:    end for
13:    return MP
14: end procedure
Fig. 5: Approximate PMP’s for the time series in Fig. 3 computed by the LINKUMP and SKIMP algorithms, respectively; both with 5% MP’s exactly computed.
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Fig. 6: PMP’s for the time series in Fig. 3 computed by the LINKUMP algorithm.


