



# InSeGAN: A Generative Approach to Segmenting Identical Instances in Depth Images



Anoop Cherian<sup>1</sup>



Siddarth Jain<sup>1</sup>

Tim K. Marks<sup>1</sup>



Alan Sullivan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs (MERL), Cambridge, MA <sup>2</sup>Instituto Superior Tecnico, University of Lisbon, Portugal

ICCV Virtual, 2021







# Pick an Instance?









# Pick an Instance?



**TÉCNICO** LISBOA













#### Pick an Instance?



© MERL 3/15/22







# Identical Instance Segmentation Problem



Input: depth image

#### Problem setup:

- A collection of depth images
  - Each with multiple instances of the same rigid object
- Unsupervised: No ground truth instance labels for learning







# InSeGAN (Instance Segmentation GAN) Approach: Analysis by Synthesis



Synthesis: GAN learns to produce outputs that look like real depth images.

Analysis: Encoder learns to input a realistic depth image and output instance pose parameters





# InSeGAN Generator: Instance Poses to Depth Image



© MERL 3/15/22





# InSeGAN Analysis: Depth Image to Instance Poses



- Instance pose encoder *E* 
  - takes in a synthesized multiple-instance depth image  $\hat{x}$
  - produces pose vectors  $\hat{Z}$  that could have produced  $\hat{x}$ .



© MERL 3/15/22



© MERL 3/15/22



At test time:

- Input depth image is passed through the pose encoder to get the latent vectors
- Latent vectors are then decoded and rendered one at a time, each rendering a single instance
- Rendered instances are thresholded and transformed into segment masks





#### **Experiments and Results**











# New Insta-10 Synthetic Dataset



Object CAD models (unavailable for training) and the depth images for each class

Insta-10 dataset has **10 object classes**, each defined by a 3D object CAD model Each class has **10,000 depth images** 

Each image has 5 object instances in varying poses, occlusions, etc.





## **ICCV**OCTOBER 11-17

#### Real Robotic Data Collection





- We programmed a Fetch robot to shake a box containing 4 wooden blocks. The depth images were captured using a RealSense RGB-D camera.
- The evaluation test images are hand annotated using the LabelMe tool.
- Collected 3,000 depth images. Annotated 62 images for evaluation.



#### Comparisons to the State of the Art

TÉCNICO LISBOA

ili

| Method                    | Nut   | Stop. | Cyl.  | Bolt  | Cone  | Conn. | 5-pin | Obj01 | Obj14 | Obj05 | Avg mIoU |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Non-Deep Learning Methods |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| K-Means                   | 0.64  | 0.297 | 0.7   | 0.18  | 0.35  | 0.554 | 0.628 | 0.208 | 0.496 | 0.59  | 0.464    |
| Spectral Clustering [31]  | 0.56  | 0.36  | 0.54  | 0.22  | 0.41  | 0.56  | 0.58  | 0.25  | 0.47  | 0.57  | 0.452    |
| GrabCut [36]+KMeans       | 0.572 | 0.232 | 0.572 | 0.472 | 0.231 | 0.519 | 0.497 | 0.597 | 0.557 | 0.605 | 0.486    |
| GraphCut [3]              | 0.569 | 0.1   | 0.589 | 0.447 | 0.12  | 0.476 | 0.12  | 0.597 | 0.540 | 0.511 | 0.373    |
| Deep Learning Methods     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| Wu et al. [41]            | 0.45  | 0.28  | 0.57  | 0.27  | 0.33  | 0.38  | 0.43  | 0.23  | 0.44  | 0.57  | 0.385    |
| IODINE [9]                | 0.026 | 0.059 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 0.089 | 0.032 | 0.034 | 0.058 | 0.053 | 0.118 | 0.053    |
| Slot Attn. [29]           | 0.375 | 0.276 | 0.535 | 0.43  | 0.68  | 0.662 | 0.628 | 0.655 | 0.622 | 0.481 | 0.535    |
| InSeGAN (2D)*(ours)       | 0.215 | 0.365 | 0.258 | 0.524 | 0.435 | 0.585 | 0.628 | 0.365 | 0.286 | 0.532 | 0.419    |
| InSeGAN (3D) (ours)       | 0.773 | 0.301 | 0.760 | 0.539 | 0.47  | 0.655 | 0.642 | 0.686 | 0.591 | 0.483 | 0.590    |

\*InSeGAN2D baseline did not use the 3D template or the pose decoder modules, instead using the noise vector to directly produce a single instance feature vector

MITSUBISHI

**ELECTRIC** Changes for the Better





# TO CONTRIBUTION OCTOBER 11-17

# More Results

| RGB Image | Depth Image (input) InSeGAN | KMeans | Felzenszwalb et al. |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------|
|           | Method                      | mIoU   |                     |
|           | KMeans                      | 0.797  |                     |
|           | Spectral Clustering         | 0.668  |                     |
|           | Graph Segmentation [7]      | 0.436  |                     |
|           | InSeGAN                     | 0.857  |                     |
|           | Results on Rea              | l Data |                     |







# Qualitative Segmentation Results



See paper for more results

**Top row:** Input depth image. **Middle row:** Image rendered by InSeGAN. **Last row:** Segmentations produced by InSeGAN.





# Instance Pose Disentanglement



Depth image input









## Instance Pose Disentanglement



Depth image input



Rotating a single instance









## Instance Pose Disentanglement



Depth image

Rotating all instances Rotating in Rotating all instances Rotating and Rotating all instances Rotating all instanc

Rotating a single instance

Translating a single instance







# Thank you!

For questions, please contact us at <u>cherian@merl.com</u>

PyTorch implementation of InSeGAN and the Insta-10 dataset are publicly available at <a href="https://www.merl.com/research/">https://www.merl.com/research/</a>