







# **High-Accuracy User Identification Using EEG Biometrics**

Toshiaki Koike-Akino<sup>(1)</sup>, Ruhi Mahajan<sup>(1,2)</sup>, Tim K. Marks<sup>(1)</sup>, Ye Wang<sup>(1)</sup>, Shinji Watanabe<sup>(1)</sup>, Oncel Tuzel<sup>(1)</sup>, and Philip Orlik<sup>(1)</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories (MERL), 201 Broadway, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>(2)</sup>Dept. of Electrical and Computer Eng., University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, 38152 USA

#### Abstract

• We analyze brainwave biometrics, measured by a consumer-grade EEG device

• We show that 97% accuracy can be

## **EEG** Brainwave Biometrics

- EEG signals are used for biometrics[3, 4, 5, 6], alternative to fingerprints, palm vein, and iris recognition
- Clinical-grade sensors: AR feature[7], GMM[2], LDA[8], SVM[9]







#### achieved by multi-epoch classification

# Feature Extraction & Classification

- We use principal component analysis (PCA)[12] and partial least-squares (PLS)[13] for dimensionality reduction of EPR data
- Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA), naïve Bayes (NB), decision tree (DT), k nearest neighbor (k-NN), logistic regression (LR), support vector machine (SVM), and deep neural network (DNN)[14]



# **ERP** Statistics

• Statistical significance of event-related potential (ERP) in card counting (P-300 component) ERP - F ERP - AF 10 Potential ( $\mu$ V) Potential ( $\mu$ V) 10 10 p-Value 200 400 600 200 600 ERP - P ERP - O 10-10 Potential ( $\mu$ V) c 0 c h $10^{-5}$ 50 epochs Potential ( $\mu$ V) -100 epochs - 200 epochs 10 -100 500 600 700 100 300 Time (ms) Figure 1: Statistical significance p-value:  $p < 10^{-2}$  when \_\_\_ \_\_\_

0	20	0 40	0 60	)0
Time (ms)				

400 600 200 Time (ms)

100 seconds for 25 users = 4-second ERP per user

# **User Identification Performance**

- QDA + PLS achieved 97% accuracy for 25-user identification
- Linearly degrading as the number of users increases
- Exponentially improving as the length of EEG measurement extends



#### Summary

- Demonstrated the potential for EEG biometrics via consumer-grade sensors
- Analyzed the impact of dimensionality reduction, classification algorithms, channel selection, length of EEG, and the number of users.

## References

- [1] M. Abo-Zahhad, S. M. Ahmed, and S. N. Abbas, "A new EEG acquisition protocol for biometric identification using eye blinking signals," Intelligent Systems and Applications, vol. 6, pp. 48-54, 2015.
- S. Marcel and J. R. del Millan, "Person authentication using brainwaves (EEG) and |2| maximum a posteriori model adaptation," IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 743-752, April 2007.
- I. Nakanishi, S. Baba, and C. Miyamoto, "EEG based biometric authentication using new spectral features," ISPACS, pp. 651-654, 2009.
- [4] Q. Gui, Z. Jin, and W. Xu, "Exploring EEG-based biometrics for user identification and authentication," SPMB, pp. 1-6, 2014.
- J. Thorpe, P. van Oorschot, and A. Somayaji, "Pass-thoughts: Authenticating with our minds," NSPW, pp. 45-56, 2005.
- T. T. Pham, W. Ma, D. Tran, P. Nguyen, and D. Phung, "A study on the feasibility of using EEG signals for authentication purpose," Neural Information Processing, pp. 562-569, 2013.



 $10^{2}$ 

- C. He, X. Lv, and J. Wang, "Hashing the mAR coefficients from EEG data for person |7| authentication," ICASSP, pp. 1445–1448, April 2009.
- [8] R. Palaniappan, "Two-stage biometric authentication method using thought activity brain waves," International J. Neural Systems, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 59-66, 2008.
- [9] P. Nguyen, D. Tran, X. Huang, and W. Ma, "Motor imagery EEG-based person verification," Advances in Computat. Intelligence, pp. 430-438, 2013.
- [10] C. Ashby, A. Bhatia, F. Tenore, and J. Vogelstein, "Low-cost electroencephalogram (EEG) based authentication," EMBS, pp. 442-445, April 2011.
- [11] J. Chuang, H. Nguyen, C. Wang, B. Johnson, "I think, therefore I am: Usability and security of authentication using brainwaves," Financial Cryptography and Data Security, pp. 1–16, 2013.
- I. T. Jolliffe, Principal Component Analysis, 2nd ed., Springer, 2002. |12|
- [13] S. de Jong, "SIMPLS: An alternative approach to partial least squares regression," Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, vol. 18, pp. 251–263, 1993.

[14] K. P. Murphy, *Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective*, The MIT press, 2012.

**EMBC2016** 

yewang@merl.com

<mark>⊱</mark>−AF

O+F

 $10^{3}$ 

₩O+P

**→**14ch