Constant Modulus 4D Optimized Constellation Alternative for DP-8QAM

Kojima, K.; Millar, D.S.; Koike-Akino, T.; Parsons, K.

TR2014-083 September 2014

Abstract

We propose a constant modulus 4D format as an alternative to DP-8QAM. It has 0.4dB lower SNR at BER = 10^-2, and 1 dB higher span loss budget in nonlinear regime.
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Introduction
Various modulation formats have been studied for coherent optical communications. 8-ary-quadrature amplitude modulation (8QAM) plays an important role by filling the gap between quaternary phase shift keying (QPSK) and 16QAM in terms of bit rates and reach. It has also been proposed that 8QAM-16QAM or QPSK-8QAM are used in time-domain hybrid. In order to achieve similar bit rate with improved sensitivity, quaternary code or sphere-cut lattice eight-dimensional codes have been proposed. However, for these 6bits/symbol codes, simultaneously achieving high sensitivity, Gray coding, and constant modulus has not been realized. In this work, we start with DP-8PSK which has the properties of constant modulus and Gray coding. On the Poincare sphere, we move the constellation points to increase Euclidean distance among the nearest neighbor words, while maintaining constant modulus. Transmission simulation results show that our proposed code, 4-dimensional 2-ary amplitude 8-ary phase shift keying (4D-2A8PSK), has at least 1dB better nonlinear performance than DP-8QAM.

Constellation Design
We start with the constellations projected onto the Stokes space. Figure 1(a) shows the dual polarization (DP)-8QAM constellation points in the Stokes space, where radius of each point represents the signal power. Since it is not constant modulus, the radius of each point differs significantly, and that affects the nonlinear performance. Figure 1(b) shows the dual polarization-8-ary phase shift keying (DP-8PSK), where each projected points represents 8 words of 6 bits which are actually separated well in 4D space. The nearest words in the 4D space correspond to the nearest points in Fig. 1(b), so DP-8PSK has poor noise sensitivity. In order to increase the nearest points in the Stokes space, we came up with the constellation configuration in Fig. 1(c), where the 8 constellation points are staggered and separated into two groups. Our proposed 4D-2A8PSK code can be expressed as

\[ x(k, l) = a(l) e^{j\phi_k}, \quad y(k, l) = b(l) e^{j\phi_l} \]

\[ \phi_k = \pi/4 \times (k - 1), \quad \phi_l = \pi/4 \times (l - 1) \]

where

\[ a(l) = r_1, \quad b(l) = r_2 \quad (k + l = \text{even}) \]

\[ a(l) = r_2, \quad b(l) = r_1 \quad (k + l = \text{odd}) \]

\[ r_1 = \sin(\theta + \pi/2)/\sqrt{2}, \quad r_2 = \cos(\theta + \pi/2)/\sqrt{2} \]

The ratio of the two radii \( r_2/r_1 \) is equal to \( \tan^{-1}(\theta + \pi/2) \). Note that \( r_2/r_1 = 1 \) for the DP-8PSK case. The constellation for DP-8PSK and 4D-2A8PSK are shown in Fig. 2. As \( \theta \) deviates from 0, two rings in DP-8PSK and 4D-2A8PSK become separated. The main difference is that, if the amplitude in the x-polarization is larger, then that in the y-polarization is smaller, in order to keep constant modulus. The labeling of 4D-2A8PSK follows that of DP-8PSK.

In order to understand the behavior of nearest neighbors, we plot a histogram of 4D Euclidean distances of all the constellation points as a function of \( r_2/r_1 \), as shown Fig. 3. Starting with \( r_2/r_1 = 1 \) (DP-8PSK), the count for the shortest distance combination remains the same until \( r_2/r_1 \) reaches 0.5858, and this means that Gray coding is maintained for \( r_2/r_1 \) between 1 and close to 0.5858.

Note that 4D-2A8PSK constellation in x- or y-polarization alone looks similar to the 16 amplitude/phase shift keying (16APSK) used in wireless communications, but 16APSK have not been used in the context of 4D codes.
Noise sensitivity

We first evaluate additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel performances of high-dimensional modulation formats for signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) regime concerning uncoded BER thresholds of modern forward error correction (FEC) codes \(^9\). SNR is defined as \( \frac{E_b}{N_0} \) where \( E_b \) is the energy per bit and \( N_0 \) is the unilateral power spectral density of the noise per dimension. BER vs. SNR curves obtained by Monte-Carlo simulations.

We numerically calculated \( \frac{E_b}{N_0} \) which satisfies \( \text{BER} = 10^{-2} \), while changing \( r_2/r_1 \). As shown in Fig. 4, \( r_2/r_1 = 0.6 - 0.61 \) gives the lowest BER under the nonlinear condition. We use \( r_2/r_1 = 0.6 \) for the remainder of this paper.

Figure 5 shows the BER vs SNR for multiple codes. In addition to 4D-2A8PSK and DP-8QAM,
we plotted quaternary 12 bit - 8 dimension code (Quat. 12b-8D)\textsuperscript{5}, which is known to be the best high (> 4) dimensional code to our knowledge. This shows that 4D-2A8PSK has the best sensitivity among the 3 codes for a BER greater than $8 \times 10^{-3}$, while Quat. 12b-8D code offers superior performance at BERs below $8 \times 10^{-3}$. At BER = $1 \times 10^{-2}$, 4D-2A8PSK and Quat. 12b-8D codes have 0.39 dB and 0.57 dB gain, respectively, over DP-8QAM.

**Optical transmission performance**

We simulated transmission performance over a 6000 km standard single-mode fiber (SSMF) or non-zero dispersion shifted fiber (NZDSF) link at a rate of 125 Gb/s per wavelength. Modulated symbols are mapped to the four dimensions (4D-2A8PSK), eight dimensions (Quat. 12b-8D), and two-dimensions (DP-8QAM)\textsuperscript{5}. At the transmitter, DP-I/Q modulators were driven by rectangular pulses, filtered by a $5^{th}$ order Bessel filter with -3 dB bandwidth of 0.7 times the symbol rate. 5 wavelength channels with the same code were simulated with 50 GHz spacing and no optical filtering. The link comprises 75 spans of 80 km SSMF or NZDSF with loss compensated by Erbium doped fiber amplifiers with 5.0 dB noise figure. In order to quantify performance over a single link for multiple modulation formats, span loss budget was used as a performance metric\textsuperscript{6}. SSMF parameters were as follows:

\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 1.2 / \text{W/km}; \\
D &= 17 \text{ ps/nm/km}; \\
\alpha &= 0.2 \text{ dB/km}.
\end{align*}

NZDSF parameters were:

\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 1.6 / \text{W/km}; \\
D &= 3.9 \text{ ps/nm/km}; \\
\alpha &= 0.2 \text{ dB/km}.
\end{align*}

Other fiber effects such as dispersion slope and polarization mode dispersion were not simulated. An ideal homodyne coherent receiver was used, with a transfer function described by a $5^{th}$ order Bessel filter with -3 dB bandwidth 0.7 times the symbol rate, followed by sampling at twice the symbol rate. Following this, ideal chromatic dispersion equalization and data-aided least mean square equalization were employed. We assumed a BER threshold of $1 \times 10^{-2}$ for a 20% FEC\textsuperscript{9}. The plots for span loss budget vs. launch power for the three modulation formats are given in Fig. 6 for SSMF, and Fig. 7 for NZDSF. In the low launch power regime (-8 dBm) where linear propagation effects are dominant, 4D-2A8PSK code gives 0.45 dB higher margin compared to DP-8QAM. The AWGN channel results predicted 0.4 dB improvement, and 0.05 dB discrepancy can be explained by the nonlinear effect in the case of SSMF. For higher launch powers where nonlinearity is dominant, performance improvements become more significant, and the peak margin difference is above 1dB. The difference becomes more apparent in the case of NZDSF case. 4D-2A8PSK also performed better than the best 8D code with 3 bits/s/Hz/pol spectral efficiency.

**Conclusions**

We propose a constant modulus 4D-2A8PSK code. Compared to the DP-8QAM, this has 0.4 dB better sensitivity in linear region, while it has greater than 1 dB higher sensitivity in nonlinear region both for SSMF and NZDSF transmissions.
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